

(if the columns below are not aligned properly please change your font to Lucida Console or some other fixed width font)

JUDGES ANALYSIS

Meet: 2022 MHSAA Division 3 Girls State Finals 11/10/2022

Event: Div. 3 - R9 @ Hamilton High School

Evaluating Panel A which judged rounds 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11

Place 1 Anevay Hager (HAM)

Session Score:	354.35	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	354.35		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	337.95	367.95	355.05	349.80	378.60	324.15	362.85
RNK:	1	1	2	2	1	2	1
DEV:	-16.40	13.60	0.70	-4.55	24.25	-30.20	8.50
H/L:	0/0	1/0	2/0	1/0	3/0	0/4	0/0

Place 2 Natalie Wickstrom (GRCHS)

Session Score:	334.70	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	334.70		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	328.50	358.95	337.80	351.90	311.55	314.85	329.40
RNK:	2	2	4	1	6	5	4
DEV:	-6.20	24.25	3.10	17.20	-23.15	-19.85	-5.30
H/L:	0/0	1/0	0/0	2/0	1/3	0/0	0/1

Place 3 Emily Setlock (GRCHS)

Session Score:	333.20	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	333.20		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	327.90	357.30	339.90	349.65	299.40	305.40	332.70
RNK:	3	3	3	3	7	7	3
DEV:	-5.30	24.10	6.70	16.45	-33.80	-27.80	-0.50
H/L:	0/0	3/0	0/0	1/0	0/4	0/1	1/0

Place 4 Kennedi Reed (OTS)

Session Score:	332.45	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	332.45		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	316.50	325.35	376.35	328.65	339.45	335.25	323.85
RNK:	5	4	1	5	3	1	6
DEV:	-15.95	-7.10	43.90	-3.80	7.00	2.80	-8.60
H/L:	0/2	0/1	5/0	0/0	1/0	0/0	0/1

Place 5 Clara Hoag (SOHV)

Session Score:	321.30	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	321.30		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	310.65	313.95	335.25	323.25	327.75	319.35	334.50
RNK:	6	6	5	6	4	3	2
DEV:	-10.65	-7.35	13.95	1.95	6.45	-1.95	13.20
H/L:	0/0	0/1	2/1	2/1	1/0	0/1	1/0

Place 6 Layla Winklepleck (HAM)

Session Score: 318.35 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 318.35
Judge 1 Judge 2 Judge 3 Judge 4 Judge 5 Judge 6 Judge 7
TAW: 319.95 311.85 311.25 313.05 341.55 297.75 325.80
RNK: 4 7 6 8 2 8 5
DEV: 1.60 -6.50 -7.10 -5.30 23.20 -20.60 7.45
H/L: 1/0 0/1 0/1 1/0 4/0 0/3 0/0

Place 7 Sadie DuBois (HOLCH)

Session Score: 306.75 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 306.75
Judge 1 Judge 2 Judge 3 Judge 4 Judge 5 Judge 6 Judge 7
TAW: 306.75 315.45 301.65 333.15 279.00 315.90 300.00
RNK: 7 5 8 4 10 4 10
DEV: 0.00 8.70 -5.10 26.40 -27.75 9.15 -6.75
H/L: 0/1 1/1 0/0 2/0 0/4 0/0 0/1

Place 8 Scarlett Nykamp (HOLCH)

Session Score: 301.35 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 301.35
Judge 1 Judge 2 Judge 3 Judge 4 Judge 5 Judge 6 Judge 7
TAW: 300.00 301.50 298.50 301.20 276.45 305.85 310.05
RNK: 9 8 9 11 11 6 8
DEV: -1.35 0.15 -2.85 -0.15 -24.90 4.50 8.70
H/L: 0/0 0/1 0/0 1/0 0/4 1/0 0/0

Place 9 Bailee Dilley (ALL)

Session Score: 295.40 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 295.40
Judge 1 Judge 2 Judge 3 Judge 4 Judge 5 Judge 6 Judge 7
TAW: 289.95 290.70 298.35 313.05 294.45 292.50 286.65
RNK: 11 9 10 8 8 12 12
DEV: -5.45 -4.70 2.95 17.65 -0.95 -2.90 -8.75
H/L: 0/0 0/0 1/0 3/0 1/2 1/1 0/0

Place 10 Sophia Brakora (SPLK)

Session Score: 294.45 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 294.45
Judge 1 Judge 2 Judge 3 Judge 4 Judge 5 Judge 6 Judge 7
TAW: 271.50 272.40 286.20 313.20 312.45 292.95 317.70
RNK: 13 14 12 7 5 11 7
DEV: -22.95 -22.05 -8.25 18.75 18.00 -1.50 23.25
H/L: 0/3 0/3 0/0 2/0 1/0 0/1 2/0

Place 11 Sabrina Iverson (HOLCH)

Session Score: 291.85 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 291.85
Judge 1 Judge 2 Judge 3 Judge 4 Judge 5 Judge 6 Judge 7
TAW: 294.90 278.55 302.40 298.95 273.90 293.55 302.55
RNK: 10 11 7 12 13 10 9
DEV: 3.05 -13.30 10.55 7.10 -17.95 1.70 10.70
H/L: 0/0 0/0 1/0 1/1 0/3 0/0 2/0

Place 12 Sydney Koning (HOLCH)

Session Score: 285.85 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 285.85

	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	280.95	271.65	296.10	295.80	275.40	296.70	288.45
RNK:	12	15	11	13	12	9	11
DEV:	-4.90	-14.20	10.25	9.95	-10.45	10.85	2.60
H/L:	0/0	0/1	1/0	2/1	0/0	0/0	0/0

Place 13 Lucy Cotter (GRCC)

Session Score: 278.50 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 278.50

	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	301.80	278.40	268.65	301.50	262.95	277.65	269.10
RNK:	8	12	15	10	15	14	13
DEV:	23.30	-0.10	-9.85	23.00	-15.55	-0.85	-9.40
H/L:	2/0	0/1	0/2	3/0	0/0	0/0	0/0

Place 14 Ella Vandenberg (GRCHS)

Session Score: 267.60 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 267.60

	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	262.65	283.35	272.55	288.45	250.35	271.80	258.75
RNK:	15	10	13	14	17	15	16
DEV:	-4.95	15.75	4.95	20.85	-17.25	4.20	-8.85
H/L:	0/0	0/0	1/0	1/0	0/3	1/2	0/0

Place 15 Lily McGowan (GRCHS)

Session Score: 266.45 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 266.45

	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	261.15	273.30	264.60	287.70	259.65	259.95	260.55
RNK:	16	13	16	15	16	16	15
DEV:	-5.30	6.85	-1.85	21.25	-6.80	-6.50	-5.90
H/L:	1/1	0/0	0/0	3/0	0/1	1/2	0/0

Place 16 Kaylee Berkshire (GHS)

Session Score: 264.10 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 264.10

	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	264.90	254.40	269.85	278.70	266.10	279.90	248.70
RNK:	14	16	14	17	14	13	17
DEV:	0.80	-9.70	5.75	14.60	2.00	15.80	-15.40
H/L:	0/0	0/0	2/1	3/0	1/0	1/0	0/1

Place 17 Joselyn Paskvan (HAM)

Session Score: 254.95 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 254.95

	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	249.15	243.30	242.70	280.65	282.60	248.40	260.70
RNK:	17	17	17	16	9	17	14
DEV:	-5.80	-11.65	-12.25	25.70	27.65	-6.55	5.75
H/L:	0/0	0/1	1/1	2/0	3/0	0/2	0/1

Place 18 Olivia VanVliet (HOLCH)

Session Score: 199.30 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 199.30

	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
--	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

TAW:	189.75	185.70	209.10	220.80	178.05	207.00	191.10
RNK:	19	19	18	18	20	18	18
DEV:	-9.55	-13.60	9.80	21.50	-21.25	7.70	-8.20
H/L:	0/1	0/2	1/0	4/0	0/1	0/0	0/0

Place 19 Callie Borst (ALL)

Session Score:	192.25	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	192.25		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	193.05	189.90	193.05	202.65	185.85	194.85	189.15
RNK:	18	18	19	20	19	19	19
DEV:	0.80	-2.35	0.80	10.40	-6.40	2.60	-3.10
H/L:	0/1	0/0	0/1	2/2	0/1	1/0	0/0

Place 20 Kahliya Miller (GHS)

Session Score:	188.75	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	188.75		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	189.45	180.75	188.25	206.85	189.60	185.55	186.15
RNK:	20	20	20	19	18	20	20
DEV:	0.70	-8.00	-0.50	18.10	0.85	-3.20	-2.60
H/L:	0/0	0/0	0/0	3/1	1/1	0/0	0/0

Place 21 Lainy VanderPloeg (GRCHS)

Session Score:	172.70	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	172.70		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	176.85	171.00	165.00	192.15	160.80	177.45	164.85
RNK:	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
DEV:	4.15	-1.70	-7.70	19.45	-11.90	4.75	-7.85
H/L:	0/0	0/1	1/1	2/0	0/1	0/0	0/0

Place 22 Jessica Mendendorp (HAM)

Session Score:	109.40	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	109.40		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	103.20	103.80	105.60	113.55	118.80	106.05	113.70
RNK:	24	22	22	24	22	22	22
DEV:	-6.20	-5.60	-3.80	4.15	9.40	-3.35	4.30
H/L:	0/0	0/0	0/0	1/0	1/0	0/0	0/0

Place 23 Amaya Wiseman (SPLK)

Session Score:	103.80	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	103.80		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	105.75	94.65	97.50	118.65	108.45	99.60	105.75
RNK:	22	23	25	23	24	24	23
DEV:	1.95	-9.15	-6.30	14.85	4.65	-4.20	1.95
H/L:	0/0	0/1	0/2	2/0	1/0	0/0	0/0

Place 24 Karli Velzen (HUC)

Session Score:	100.90	Starting Score:	0.00	Final Score:	100.90		
	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	103.95	92.70	102.45	105.30	108.75	101.55	97.20
RNK:	23	24	24	25	23	23	24

DEV:	3.05	-8.20	1.55	4.40	7.85	0.65	-3.70
H/L:	0/0	0/1	0/0	1/0	0/0	0/0	0/0

Place 25 Caitlin Peterson (HUC)

Session Score: 94.90 Starting Score: 0.00 Final Score: 94.90

	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4	Judge 5	Judge 6	Judge 7
TAW:	102.30	84.45	104.40	128.85	89.40	98.55	75.90
RNK:	25	25	23	22	25	25	25
DEV:	7.40	-10.45	9.50	33.95	-5.50	3.65	-19.00
H/L:	0/0	0/1	0/0	3/0	0/0	0/0	0/3

JUDGES FOR PANEL A

Referee: Sue Barthold

Judge 1: Mastellone, Neil (GR Cath Cent)

Judge 2: Groenenboom, Elena (GR CHR)

Judge 3: Wallace, Bonnie (Otsego)

Judge 4: Davis, Michele (Gaylord)

Judge 5: Paskvan, Aaron (Hamilton)

Judge 6: Price, Brian (Allegan)

Judge 7: Weick, Grant (Spring Lake)

	TRD	PAP	PBP	CPD	NPD	H/L	PAC
Judge 1	26	46.80	-120.95	167.75	-74.15	4/9	2.54
Judge 2	20	93.40	-155.70	249.10	-62.30	6/17	2.42
Judge 3	26	124.45	-65.55	190.00	58.90	18/10	2.34
Judge 4	31	347.65	-13.80	361.45	333.85	48/6	2.16
Judge 5	50	131.30	-223.60	354.90	-92.30	19/28	2.19
Judge 6	36	68.35	-129.45	197.80	-61.10	6/17	2.35
Judge 7	26	86.40	-113.90	200.30	-27.50	6/8	2.51

PAC Details - # of times when the difference between this judges award and the average of the non-deleted awards was between X & y

	# times 0-0.25	# times 0.26-0.50	# times 0.51-1.00	# times > 1.00
Judge 1	151	66	19	2
Judge 2	125	90	22	1
Judge 3	119	83	34	2
Judge 4	100	87	41	10
Judge 5	108	78	41	11
Judge 6	123	80	31	4
Judge 7	143	76	17	2

ANALYSIS TERMS

TAW - Total score if all judges agreed with Judge n. Award multiplied by 3, multiplied by Degree of Difficulty.

RNK - Rank diver achieved using Judge n's scores only.

DEV - Points deviation between Judge n's total and the panel's total.

H/L - # of times Judge n was the lone high score or the lone low score.

SUMMARY TERMS

TRD - Total of rank deviations.

PAP - Points above the panel.

PBP - Points below the panel.

CPD - Combined points deviation. Sum of the absolute values of PAP and PBP.

NPD - Net points deviation. Arithmetic sum of PAP and PBP.

H/L - Number of times Judge n was the lone high score or the lone low score during the event.

PAC - Panel average correlation. Computed as follows: The difference between each judges award and the average of the non-deleted awards is calculated. If the difference is between 0 and 0.25 then 3 points are added. If the difference is between 0.26 and 0.50 then 2 points are added. If the difference is between 0.51 and 1.00 then 1 point is added. If the difference is greater than 1.00 then no points are added. The total points are then divided by the number of dives to determine an average. The closer a judges PAC value is to 3 means that the judge was close to what the panel scored.

<-- Analysis performed on 11/10/2022 7:53:57 PM -->