Midlakes Swim League Fall General Meeting Agenda

Tuesday, October 18, 2016 at 7:00 pm

- 1) Overview
 - a. League Champs Heat Sheet letter
- 2) Board Positions
 - a. Vote Treasurer, Neil Butler MICC
 - b. Open Secretary and Division IV Rep
 - c. Merchandising transition?
- 3) Tentative Swim Calendar (Division Alignment targeted to Spring per Bylaws)
 - a. Dual meets begin June 13/15
 - b. Division Champs July 15
 - c. Prelims July 17-19
 - d. League Champs Sunday, July 23 at KCAC
- 4) Reports 2016 Season Review
 - a. Coaches' Reps
 - b. Merchandise
 - c. Financial (Nancy)
- 5) Board Administrative issues
 - a. Operating Plan and By-Law proposed changes
 - i. Completed 2016 Spring meeting
 - 1. Eliminated protest process redundancy and inconsistency
 - 2. Objective meet schedule by seeding
 - ii. Current 2016 Fall meeting
 - 1. On hold Establish objective Division Alignment formula
 - 2. On hold Eligibility language
 - iii. Targeted 2017 Spring meeting
 - 1. Inclement weather policy
- 6) Board Mission / Operating initiatives / issues
 - a. Survey feedback
 - b. Champs hosting
 - i. Financial calibration / best practices
 - ii. Improve / consolidate League Champs coordination materials
 - c. Committee formation and initiatives
 - i. Competitive Structure review (with Eligibility effects)
 - ii. Midlakes / Club Swimming / PNS relationship
- 7) Water Polo & Diving update
- 8) New business

League Champs Heat Sheet Letter

Dear Midlakes Community,

Congratulations on another great season! Thank you to all volunteers, coaches, and officials for your commitment. Thank you to all swimmers for your hard work, sportsmanship, and fun attitudes. Summer swimming is great in that it brings together kids of all abilities as one team - where everyone can improve, compete, and have a good time together.

This year is no exception, despite ever-growing challenges for attention in an already short, but intense season. A continually encroaching school year, demands of year-round soccer and fast-growing lacrosse, historic alternatives such as baseball, a seemingly more intensive long-course club swimming season, and - particularly this year - the weather.

Despite those challenges, I have a great number to share this season:

• 3,976 - a record number of Midlakes swimmers

So whether it's reflective of population growth, coaches and parents doing a lot of things right, or general interest, the growth is fantastic, and Midlakes has a proud history of competition, fun, and helping produce great swimmers. Perhaps in this Olympic year's Midlakes swimmers, you will see a future Olympian whose passion and drive began right here.

...

Yet as a league, we sense opportunities to deliver an even better experience for everyone – swimmers, coaches, volunteers, and parents - especially as growth strains the competitive and reward system we currently enjoy or other activities that deliver better time and emotional value threaten to draw kids away.

Rather than just instinctively maintain the status quo, we are interested in evaluating our competitive structure and other league characteristics. This does <u>not</u> mean change for the sake of capricious change, but simply taking a holistic look at the experiences we deliver, potentially learning from other leagues across the country, and perhaps identifying more strategic ways to drive retention and growth as competitive demands for time continue to grow.

•••

To close, I'll repeat a thought sent to club reps, hosts, and coaches last week. Our world has some dark places right now, and while things may not go exactly the way we want today – a disappointing performance, some tears, a concession line way too long, your coaches' relay loses (a cataclysm of Olympic scale, actually) – these are small things. You will forget them rather quickly.

But you have all collectively helped create great experiences for everyone this season — especially the kids. So whether you're coaching, hosting, working or watching, my only ask is this: watch the kids enjoying themselves, and enjoy that for yourselves. That's what everyone will remember.

Thank You

						_
DIVISION I	A-WIN	A-LOSS	B-WIN	B-LOSS	Division 1	
Edgebrook (EB)	4	1	4	1	Winner A's	NHST
Mercer Island Beach Club (MIBC)	3	2	0	5	Winner B's	SAM
Mercerwood Shore Club (MSC)	0	5	1	4	Winner B Champs	EB
Newport Hills Swim & Tennis Club (NH)	5	0	3	2		
Samena (SAM)	4	1	5	0		
Mercer Island Country Club (MICC)	2	3	2	3		
DIVISION II	A-WIN	A-LOSS	B-WIN	B-LOSS	Division II	
Overlake Golf and Country Club (OL)	3	2	3	2	Winner A's	KLA
Klahanie (KLA)	4	0	4	1	Winner B's	KLA
Fairwood (FW)	2	3	4	1	Winner B Champs	KLA
Somerset (SS)	2	2	1	4		
Triangle (TRI)	3	2	0	5		
Tam O'Shanter (TOS)	0	5	3	2		
DIVISION III Kingsgate Royals (KGR)	A-WIN 2	A-LOSS 3	B-WIN 3	B-LOSS 2	Division III Winner A's	PL
Strattonwood (SW)	4	1	5	0	Winner A's Winner B's	SW
Maple Hills (MH)	3	2	2	3		KGR
	0	5	_	4	Winner B Champs	KGK
Norwood (NW)		_	1	-		
Phantom Lake (PL) Rolling Hills (RHST)	4 2	3	3	2		+
(_		_			
DIVISION IV	A-WIN	A-LOSS	B-WIN	B-LOSS	Division IV	
Kingsgate Monarchs (KGM)	4	0	2	2	Winner A's	KGM
Seattle Tennis Club (STC)	1	3	3	1	Winner B's	STC
TPC Snoqualmie Ridge (TPCSR)	1	3	2	2	Winner B Champs	KGG
Kingsgate Gators (KGG)	2	2	2	2		
Plateau Club (PLAT)	Do	2.	1	3	_	
DIVISION V	A-WIN	A-LOSS	B-WIN	B-LOSS	Division V	
HighWoodlands (HW)	0	3	n/a	n/a	Winner A's	WR
Newport Yacht Club (NYC)	2	1	n/a	n/a	Winner B's	n/a
Woodridge (WR)	3	0	n/a	n/a	Winner B Champs	HW
League Champs Results						
Newport Hills	1,725					
•	1,658					
Edgebrook MIBC						
MICC	1,366 1,172					
Samena						
Strattonwood	1,057					
Strattonwood Fairwood	870					
	802					
Overlake	746					
Mercerwood	737					
Phantom Lake	697					
Klahanie	611					
Triangle	573					
Maple Hills	553					
Somerset	546					
Kingsgate Royals	465					
Woodridge	435					
TPCSR	433					
Rolling Hills	411					
Tam O'Shanter	338					
NYC	320					
Plateau Club	291					
Norwood	237					
STC	234					
Kingsgate Monarchs	162					
	102					_
Kingsgate Gators	161					

Internation			14%		15%		21%		24%		26%		% of total by AG
Section Sect		6%	8%	6%	9%	9%	12%	11%	13%	12%	15%		% of total - Gender/AG
Interest page Interest Inte	3976	229	325	234	359	353	469	425	519	464	580		Total
Note	78	∞	15	5	∞	5	13	7	4	4	9	<	Woodridge
Note	92	ω	ω	9	6	12	15	12	12	12	8	<	Newport Yacht Club
Note	94	ъ	2	ω	12	6	12	13	18	ъ	18	=	Plateau Club
Note	95	6	11	4	6	∞	11	14	13	12	10	<	High Woodlands
Section Sect	103	13	9	7	12	13	11	10	10	10	7	=	Rolling Hills
Section Sect	109	9	8	4	12	10	16	10	13	11	16	=	Somerset
Note 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	113	5	9	11	10	11	17	6	20	11	13	=	Norwood
Same Sage Group distribution - 2016 Sage Sage Group distribution - 2016 Sage Sage Sage Sage Sage Sage Sage Sage	113	6	18	11	12	11	12	8	11	11	13	≡	Maple Hills
Same Sage Group distribution - 2016 Sage Sage	114	14	14	∞	10	9	12	10	15	9	13	≡	Kingsgate Royals
Names Age Group distribution - 2016 S&U S&U S-10 yrs 11-12 yrs 13-14 yrs 13-14 yrs 15-18 yrs 17-18 yrs 17-18 yrs 18-18 yrs 18-	122	11	21	6	ω	12	17	11	6	18	17	=	Kingsgate Monarchs
Section Sect	125	5	12	9	17	11	14	16	12	11	18	=	Kingsgate Gators
SREST AGE Group distribution - 2016 SREST STEPT STEPT	133	2	2	2	10	9	16	21	22	26	23	=	TPCSR
Section Sect	136	10	12	13	21	ъ	18	15	18	13	11	=	Triangle
Names Age Group distribution - 2016 S&U 9-10 yrs 11-12 yrs 13-14 yrs 15-18 yrs 16-18 yrs	138	19	12	7	14	14	14	13	15	14	16	=	Strattonwood
Takes Age Group distribution - 2∪16 8 & U 9 - 10 yrs 11 - 12 yrs 13 - 14 yrs 15 - 18 yrs Div Girl Boy Girl Girl Boy Girl <t< td=""><td>147</td><td>4</td><td>10</td><td>13</td><td>13</td><td>20</td><td>14</td><td>20</td><td>19</td><td>18</td><td>16</td><td>=</td><td>Tam O'Shanter</td></t<>	147	4	10	13	13	20	14	20	19	18	16	=	Tam O'Shanter
Takes Age Group distribution - 2016 8 & U 9-10 yrs 11-12 yrs 13-14 yrs 15-18 yrs Div Girl Boy Girl Girl Boy Girl Girl <td>148</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td> <td>4</td> <td>∞</td> <td>8</td> <td>16</td> <td>16</td> <td>17</td> <td>40</td> <td>28</td> <td>=</td> <td>Seattle Tennis Club</td>	148	6	5	4	∞	8	16	16	17	40	28	=	Seattle Tennis Club
Takes Age Group distribution - 2016 9-10 yrs 11-12 yrs 13-14 yrs 15-18 yrs Div Girl Boy	151	9	17	15	14	11	26	14	28	6	11	=	Phantom Lake
Takes Age Group distribution - 2016 9-10 yrs 11-12 yrs 13-14 yrs 15-18 yrs Div Girl Boy Girl Girl Boy Girl <th< td=""><td>166</td><td>13</td><td>32</td><td>ъ</td><td>15</td><td>15</td><td>22</td><td>11</td><td>15</td><td>19</td><td>19</td><td>=</td><td>Fairwood</td></th<>	166	13	32	ъ	15	15	22	11	15	19	19	=	Fairwood
Iakes Age Group distribution - 2016 9-10 yrs 11-12 yrs 13-14 yrs 15-18 yrs Div Girl Boy	167	∞	12	15	17	23	20	15	16	18	23	_	Samena
Takes Age Group distribution - 2016 9 - 10 yrs 11 - 12 yrs 13 - 14 yrs 15 - 18 yrs Div Girl Boy	173	2	ω	7	13	16	15	18	39	31	29	_	Mercerwood Shore Club
Takes Age Group distribution - 2016 9 - 10 yrs 11 - 12 yrs 13 - 14 yrs 15 - 18 yrs Div Girl Boy	178	7	8	10	12	14	14	26	18	38	31	_	MIBC
Takes Age Group distribution - 2016 8 U 9 - 10 yrs 11 - 12 yrs 13 - 14 yrs 15 - 18 yrs 1 Div Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy prook Swim 1 92 41 46 35 37 25 26 15 30 13 ort Hills 1 36 22 40 32 36 35 35 20 26 18 nie II 29 14 27 31 23 26 20 15 16 1 38 24 23 19 24 14 19 6 14 6	200	11	5	10	14	10	24	22	42	26	36	=	Overlake
Takes Age Group distribution - 2016 8 & U 9 - 10 yrs 11 - 12 yrs 13 - 14 yrs 15 - 18 yrs 1 Div Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy 1 Prook Swim 1 92 41 46 35 37 25 26 15 30 13 1 ort Hills 1 36 22 40 32 36 35 35 20 26 18 1 nie 1 29 14 27 31 23 26 20 15 15 16	205	6	14	6	19	14	24	19	23	24	38	_	MICC
Takes Age Group distribution - 2016 8 & U 9 - 10 yrs 11 - 12 yrs 13 - 14 yrs 15 - 18 yrs 1 Div Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy 92 41 46 35 37 25 26 15 30 13 90rt Hills 1 36 22 40 32 36 35 35 20 26 18	216	16	15	15	20	26	23	31	27	14	29	=	Klahanie
Bakes Age Group distribution - 2016 9-10 yrs 11-12 yrs 13-14 yrs 15-18 yrs Div Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Girl Boy	300	18	26	20	35	35	36	32	40	22	36	_	Newport Hills
lakes Age Group distribution - 2016 8 & U 9 - 10 yrs 11 - 12 yrs 13 - 14 yrs 15 - 18 yrs Div Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy Girl Boy	360	13	30	15	26	25	37	35	46	41	92	_	Edgebrook Swim
9-10 yrs 11-12 yrs 13-14 yrs 15-18	Total	Воу	Girl	Воу	Girl	Воу	Girl	Воу	Girl	Воу	Girl	Div	Team
Wildlakes Age Group distribution - 2016		8 yrs	7.			l2 yrs	1	0 yrs	١.	& □	8		
										OTO	פתרוסנו - ז	distrib	Midiakes Age Group

	Board	Coach	Rep	All
1) What is your role with Midlakes?	DOMIN	204411		A.
Board member				10
Coach				16
Swim rep				21
2) How long have you been involved in Midlakes?				
1st or 2nd season	1	2	2	5
3rd – 6th season	3	7	9	19
7th season or more	6	7	9	22
3) Do you feel Midlakes delivers a comparable, positive experience for swimmers of all ages and abilities?				
Yes	6	13	18	37
No	1			1
9) Does the Midlakes Board communicate adequately about league				
activities, policies, and requirements? Yes	7	10	15	32
No	1	1	1	3
Don't know	•	1	3	4
10) Does the Board work well to address issues and focus on common goals in the best long-term interests of swimmers?				
Yes	6	8	11	25
No	1			1
Don't know	1	3	6	10
11) What is your perception of the Board and Officer appointment / election process?				
It is defined and clear	3	1	6	10
It could be more transparent, but I understand it can be tough to get	4	9	9	22
Nord to consider a formal consumer		1	1	2
Need to consider a formal, open process	2	1	2	5
Other (please specify)	2			3
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment				_
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results	3	1	2	6
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results It's fine; leaving some subjectivity is good		9	10	6 24
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results It's fine; leaving some subjectivity is good I don't know how it is done	3 5	9	10 4	6 24 5
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results It's fine; leaving some subjectivity is good	3	9	10	6 24
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results It's fine; leaving some subjectivity is good I don't know how it is done Other (please specify) 17) Which is more important?	3 5	9 1 1	10 4 3	6 24 5 5
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results It's fine; leaving some subjectivity is good I don't know how it is done Other (please specify) 17) Which is more important? Total number of events kids can swim each week	3 5 1	9 1 1 1	10 4 3	6 24 5 5
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results It's fine; leaving some subjectivity is good I don't know how it is done Other (please specify) 17) Which is more important?	3 5	9 1 1	10 4 3	6 24 5 5
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results It's fine; leaving some subjectivity is good I don't know how it is done Other (please specify) 17) Which is more important? Total number of events kids can swim each week Total time spent on meets each week 18) How do you feel about combining "A" and "B" meets into one	3 5 1	9 1 1 1	10 4 3	6 24 5 5
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results It's fine; leaving some subjectivity is good I don't know how it is done Other (please specify) 17) Which is more important? Total number of events kids can swim each week Total time spent on meets each week 18) How do you feel about combining "A" and "B" meets into one night? (refers to timing, not scoring)	3 5 1 5 2	9 1 1 1 1 10 2	10 4 3 11 3	6 24 5 5 26 7
Other (please specify) 16) How do you feel about the Division Alignment assignment There should be a consistent, objective process each year based on results It's fine; leaving some subjectivity is good I don't know how it is done Other (please specify) 17) Which is more important? Total number of events kids can swim each week Total time spent on meets each week 18) How do you feel about combining "A" and "B" meets into one	3 5 1	9 1 1 1	10 4 3	6 24 5 5

	Board	Coach	Rep	All
21) How do you feel about the "A" meet scoring and placement system?				
Keep it simple, 3 places and 1 speed category are enough	5	5	3	13
I'm not sure, but would like to learn about other options and what other	2	4	13	19
It may favor a select group of swimmers, and we should explore ways to	2	3	1	6
Other (please specify)			1	1
22) How do you feel about combining "A" and "B" meet scoring as one				
No, keep them separate, I like having separate competitions	5	8	7	20
Consider combining them, but I'd like to see more evaluation of pros and	3	3	9	15
Yes, it's the best way to compete that includes the whole team	1		2	3
Other (please specify)		1	1	2
23) How do you feel about sanctioned meets in addition to dual meets?				
Keep it simple and hold dual meets only so everyone has a consistent	2		2	4
The system is fine; it's good to create more opportunities for swimmers	6	11	13	30
I like them, but have concerns about fairness	1	1		2
Other (please specify)			3	3
24) How do you feel about additional events at League Championships that are not swum in dual meets?				
I like them – they afford more opportunities for swimmers	5	5	8	18
We should have consistent dual and Championship events	2	3	4	9
I generally like them, but am not sure the effect is as intended	2	2	4	8
Other (please specify)		2	3	5
25) Please review the Midlakes Swimmer Eligibility rules				
Definitive for all club structures and is consistently applied across teams	2		2	4
Enables clubs with varying structures to have appropriately flexible member				
policies, but also provides for fair competition	5	7	6	18
Needs improvement - leaves too much to interpretation and may create				
unfair advantage	2	3	6	11
Other (please specify)		1	5	6

Qualitative responses available separately...