South Carolina Swimming Board of Directors (BOD) Meeting Minutes April 22, 2006

Roll Call

The BOD Meeting of SC Swimming was attended by the following:

V. Montgomery	S. Bonine
P. Lister	C. Rupp
R. Diggelmann	Ja. Chatlosh
O. West	J. Smith
D. McDonough	D. Horton
L. Woods	B. Hitchings
D. Goeckner	D. Fetchen
A. Johnson	Ju. Chatlosh
H. Edwards	J. Grossman
R. Dessloch	

The meeting was called to order by General Chair, Vicky Montgomery, at 10:17am. The Minutes of the 11/13/05 and 2/12/06 BOD meetings were approved without exception.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the Nominating Chair Heath Edwards would be announcing the Nominating Slate for April 2006.

The <u>Nominating Chair</u> announced the Nominating Slate for April 2006 as follows:

General Chair Vicky Montgomery (CA)

Admin Vice-Chair Charlotte Rupp (SMRT) & Roy Fakoury (PALM)

Secretary
Sr. Vice-Chair
Financial Chair
Board of Review

The <u>General Chair</u> thanked the Nominating Committee for being on time, on target and really well organized. They have been a pleasure to work with and have done a great job.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported that for high school approvals for fall 2006 Scott Bonine, Jim Keogh, and Kent Easty have developed a plan. This plan would make it easier to get approval to get the times updated into SWIMS database.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the executive officers met at the State Meet to discuss the CCST donation. The Executive Committee opted to do nothing with the money and it may be brought back under old business.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the LSC has a new Marketing Chair from SMRT – Brenda Rindge. She is a former MOW swimmer parent. She is a newspaper reporter who is enthusiastically ready to develop the sport of swimming in SC.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the Safety Chair Bryan Ohning is ready to resign as soon as the LSC has found a replacement. There are a couple of individuals who have expressed an interest. The General Chair will be working to find Ohning's replacement.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported on the Board Retreat for 2006. Half of the BOD has indicated they will not be able to make it the weekend of August 24th & 25th. There is a question if the retreat would be beneficial if half of the BOD is not present.

The <u>Coach's Representative</u> asked if it was possible to maybe change the format of the retreat. Is it possible to do a half day to bring in Dave Thomas and Richard Pokat and then do another half day on another weekend as the BOD without the outside facilitators?

Roy Dessloch indicated he would rather commit to a block of time rather than splitting up over two weekends. The retreat is supposed to reenergize the BOD and what we are doing and we need outside people looking in to help the BOD. We need to put forth some serious effort.

The <u>Age Group Chair</u> reminded the BOD of what Dave Thomas was trying to get across to the BOD. We as a BOD have to make a sacrifice to make this work just like the athletes do regardless of school and vacations.

The $\underline{\text{General Chair}}$ asked the BOD what they wished to do – take it down to one day and not the entire weekend.

The <u>Coach's Representative</u> stated there were three segments on what we should try to accomplish for this retreat: 1) Sum evaluation of where we think we are at, 2) vision, and 3) try to turn it into submissions. Basically, where do we think we are at? What is it we want to do? Construct a framework and then get down to specifics and develop how we get it done. All of this would be hard to do over one morning.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the first thing was to make sure that Dave Thomas and Richard Pokat were available for the weekend of August 26th and 27th. Change the Retreat to the afternoon around 1pm on Saturday and then finish Sunday morning. The

General Chair is to follow up with Dave Thomas and The Coach's Representative will follow up with Richard Pokat.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported there are openings for the Marketing Committee for those who may have interested parents in participating.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the BOD Retreat will probably be open to BOD members and the committee heads. The BOD is ultimately responsible for the execution.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> reported on the website status. The website has been updated. There is an issue that we have run out of space with our current host. Dena and Tori have been trying to find a new website host. The new website host they have found is cheaper than what we have now and has more megabytes. The new website host is projected to cost about half of what we pay right now. The website is unable to be updated with anything new at this time. The office committee will be meeting and discussing the changing the website host this weekend.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> reported on the website ".org" status. The LSC does not own the .org. It was purchased by another individual and they have been paying for it. The LSC does own the ".com". The Admin Vice-Chair reported the Office Committee is looking to move everything to the ".com" so the website is controlled at a central location at the office. The website address may be changing in the near future from an .org to a .com.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported it is probably better to have an .org as we are a non for profit organization. The LSC purchased .com in 1998 because .org was not in existence. The goal is to have a better website with more information and make it more accessible.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> reported on the status of the 2006 All State Banquet. The update on the cost and the budget will be presented at the next meeting.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> reported an email was sent out to all coaches about the disappointing turnout for All State. The numbers are at 356 presently and the previous numbers have been at 500. The Admin Vice-Chair asked for the coaches input why swimmers were not attending. Is it because of the following? 1) Members not getting the information, 2) Location, and or 3) What is All State all about. After this weekend, recommended the BOD look at and address the issue of All State as a BOD and should we make changes to the format to increase the number of athletes attending.

The <u>Athlete Chair</u> reported it needed to be more appealing for all the athletes at all ages. The Athlete Chair reported he felt there was a lack of communicating to families about All State. Some teams do a great job promoting the banquet and others do not. The Athlete Chair recommended adding things for the athletes to do while at the All State weekend.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> reported there were 176 All State athletes and with seniors the number eligible to be recognized was about 225. Of those, there are approximately 40 plus athletes not attending that would have been recognized.

The General Chair reported the following previous All State numbers have been declining over the last two years. The eight and unders have been removed from the All State and that amounts to about 10 athletes. The number of seniors graduating this year is down. The General Chair believes that location also drives whether people participate or not. The years All State was in Mt Pleasant there were 650 and 610 people in attendance. There is a push to keep it in the middle of the state. The last 5 years attendance of All State has been declining. Other activities and the symposium helped to get people to the banquet. Athletes eligible in those years at Mt. Pleasant were about 220 in 2001 and 200 in 2002. The General Chair reported the 2003 eligible athletes were 191 and in 2004 eligible athletes were 180. The numbers of eligible athletes is declining.

The <u>Treasurer</u> reported there is a perception out there that the All State banquet is only for the All State banquet. We also spend a large amount of money on recognizing a small percentage of swimmers in our LSC. It is not for 2,000 athletes; it is for 176 swimmers. The Treasurer reported there will be a deficit on income this year going towards the banquet. We need to find a way to make athletes feel part of the banquet if they are not being recognized.

The <u>Senior Vice-Chair</u> recommended just having an LSC party and or make it a higher end affair for those being recognized.

The General Chair reported this is an issue for the BOD Retreat.

The $\underline{\text{Treasurer}}$ reported we are going to be criticized as a BOD for the expenses of All State Banquet.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> reported on exception reports in the office. There were nine teams with outstanding exception reports and April 26^{th} was the deadline. So far, no one has been fined and or had to pay. The times from the exception reports have so far been proven.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> reported to date that no feedback has been provided on keeping meet results in the office from prior years. There is little space in the new office. The Admin Vice-Chair asked the BOD for feedback on what to do with all these meet results.

The <u>Coach's Representative</u> recommended saving the state meet results. Scan and keep the state meet results back.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported USA Swimming requires meet documents to be kept for 3 years back. This would be a good project for the office staff in the off time this summer to scan and keep old state meet records.

The <u>Finance Chair</u> and <u>Treasurer</u> submitted 2 reports to the Board -1) Balance Sheet as of 3/31/2006; 2) Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual Statement 09/01/05 through 3/31/06. Copies of those reports are attached hereto.

The <u>Treasurer</u> reported on the travel fund that was set up and set out to protect them from commingling with operating revenues. The Treasurer reported we will have collected less on meet profits than originally budgeted. We are going to be short by about \$2,000 in meet profits. The banquet will also not come in on budget. The expense side of the budget for All State was \$13,500. The Treasurer reported to date the All State expenses are in excess of \$16,000. We still have expenses to come in.

The Coach's Representative asked how we spent over budget.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> reported difficulty in finding a place to provide food for under \$20 a person. The location also asked how many individuals estimated and that becomes the contract price.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported outside venues allow outside catering and it is less expensive. Hotel food is extremely expensive. The General Chair reported that the All State is a philosophy issue and that the LSC may not be able to keep affording the use of hotels. The General Chair reported we are probably closer to being \$5,000 or more over budget on the expense side.

The Financial Chair reported philosophy drives the expenditure.

The $\underline{\text{Treasurer}}$ reported the expense of All State is an issue and we may have to change status quo.

The Admin Vice-Chair reported the BOD has to address this issue.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the All State Banquet needs to be a family weekend and affordable and that takes a lot of work.

The <u>Treasurer</u> reported LSC meetings are coming in under budget. Most of our meetings throughout the year have been at free locations due to the Admin Vice-Chair.

The <u>Treasurer</u> reported we have more than doubled our investment income that was budgeted. Budgeted investment income at \$1,400 and Actual \$2,871.

The General Chair thanked the Financial Chair for his investment help.

The $\underline{\text{Coach's Representative}}$ asked for year by year results of the banquet for the BOD retreat.

The <u>Treasurer</u> reported we had a running history of this from 2002. The Treasurer reported the All State Budget used to be budgeted for \$18,000 and it has been

trimmed down to \$13,500. The Treasurer reported he would provide this information for the June meeting.

The General Chair reported the Treasurer has a working budget for 2006-2007.

The <u>Senior Vice-Chair</u> reported on the Travel Fund – Reserve account \$6,936. There is an overage developing in the travel fund and the Sr. Committee is looking for other uses for the money besides travel.

The <u>Senior Vice-Chair</u> reported they have been approached to help with funding and or setting aside money for the State Open Water Championships. The Sr. Committee is also looking at providing a B level reimbursement. Right now there is only an A level reimbursement.

The <u>Senior Vice-Chair</u> reported the travel fund will approximately bring in \$8,000 and the LSC will only reimburse about half that money. There will be excess money to put into other areas of the LSC – such as adaptive, outreach, etc.

The <u>Senior Vice-Chair</u> reported the Sr Committee would like to set aside \$5,000 annually in travel reserve and then anything in excess of \$5,000 would go to other programs. The Sr. Committee would like to put the excess into different areas and here is a way to protect the travel fund and then help others in the LSC. Everyone pays into the travel fund. The Sr. Committee would work with other chairs on how to spend the excess.

The <u>Age Group Vice-Chair</u> reported on the 2006 Zones. Zone applications are available at All State and they will be posted on-line. The Age Group Chair indicated he would be sending out an email with the Zones Applications to all coaches to distribute to interested individuals on their teams. The deadline to apply for Zones is July 24th. The cost for Zones for athletes is \$550 per swimmer.

The $\underline{\text{Age Group Vice-Chair}}$ reported on the Age Group philosophy that was sent out to the BOD via email.

The <u>Coach's Representative</u> thanked the Age Group Vice Chair for the committee's efforts. The Coach's Representative would like to see Age Group Committee pursue other similar things such as IM Tough Award. Do we have an LSC handbook? The philosophy would be a good fit into an LSC handbook.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported elements of the information could be good in articles in the newsletter to get out to membership.

The <u>Age Group Vice-Chair</u> reported all records have been updated for SC and LC in Jim's database. The records are not all official. There are some missing records for example, 15-18 Girls 100 Backstroke Haley Chura. These records were updated in the

best possible manner based on the information provided. Records do not include updated relays and they have been updated through Y Nats.

The <u>Age Group Vice-Chair</u> recommended the person tabulating and maintaining the records be given authority to do so automatically. The meet results that are supplied cannot be doctored if coming through a team manager file so those should be the correct times. Team manager automatically allows the records to be updated. The tabulator needs the authority.

The <u>Age Group Vice-Chair</u> recommended the Coaches still submit a written application proof of time. If there is not a downloadable file, then submit a hard copy. It is also difficult to get referees to sign off on the record. The coaches can sign and that be valid. If the coaches falsify the time, then there will be a penalty.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the OVC Chair handles all the times going to Nationals database. The Age Group Chair also receives the same team manager file. OVC Chair is updating the SWIMS database. The Age Group Chair is pulling records and gives to the office to update the word file and post on the website. (hard copy and an electronic version) Break a national record – have to have a hard copy. The new state records process is to make it easier on the coaches.

The <u>Age Group Vice-Chair</u> reported he is also updating the Top 10 times. If there is a new state record, the record on the list will show "REC" by the swimmers name.

The <u>Senior Vice-Chair</u> asked about NCAA times and what happened to those meets and their times.

There was discussion surrounding these NCAA times and how they should be handled. There was also discussion of when there were approximately 200 records added for 11-12 age groups. The LSC at one time had given each club 3 months to produce and submit records. Senior records should be reviewed and pull out the NCAA records.

A motion was made that NCAA times are not observed by USA Swimming and they should be removed as records. Senior records should be reviewed and pull out the NCAA records. The BOD voted "In Favor" to remove these NCAA records. Favor 7/ Against 0/Abstain 1. The Age Group Vice-Chair is to update the records. The General Chair indicated she has 96, 97, 98 records on her computer and the Age Group Vice-Chair can compare his records to these. Age Group Chair will have to review the records to make sure "Club affiliated" and not NCAA. The General Chair will forward the 96-98 records to the Age Group Chair.

The Safety Chair was not present.

The <u>Secretary</u> thanked all the committee chairs for turning in their committee meeting notes. Most committees have gotten into a routine and are forwarding their meeting minutes.

The <u>Secretary</u> reported to do the newsletter Adobe Professional and or Microsoft Publisher is really needed. Presently the newsletter is being done in word and then the 6 pages are converted into 1 document using Professional and or Publisher. The Secretary reported she has been approached by an individual who would like to advertise in the LSC newsletter and would be willing to pay to do that. If ads were sold, the money coming in could be used towards the purchase of the software needed.

The <u>Secretary</u> asked the BOD how they felt about advertisements in the newsletter. There was discussion around who would decide what ads were appropriate and what size would the ads be and how much would be charged.

The <u>Coach's Representative</u> was concerned about what ads would be accepted and who would approve the ads in the newsletter. He felt the Marketing Committee may be the ones to address and or give suggestions.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported there are two separate issues: 1) The Marketing Committee's responsibilities will be to promote the sport of swimming and get new swimmers to participate. 2) We really need a Development Committee that would be charged with fundraising and obtaining sponsorships for the LSC.

The $\underline{\text{General Chair}}$ asked if we are going to accept ads for the newsletter. A motion was made to accept ads for the newsletter. The BOD voted to accept ads for the newsletter. The BOD then discussed oversight of the ads.

The <u>Secretary</u> offered to the BOD to table and discuss further at the BOD Retreat. The Secretary agreed to put together an advertising packet with a fee structure and plan for how to accept ads for BOD approval for the Fall Retreat. The goal would be to have the ads lined up and in place for the newsletters in spring of 2007. The advertising packets would go out to all clubs to give all members an opportunity to advertise if they wish to. There would be no advertisement for things that are not approved by USA Swimming – alcohol, tobacco, etc.

The <u>Secretary</u> asked that clubs get the LSC newsletters out to their memberships. The Secretary reminded everyone the next deadline for the next newsletter is May 31, 2006. The next newsletter is due out by June 15th per the P&P. It is not on the SC Swimming website as it can no longer date updates as we are out of space.

The <u>General Chair</u> asked clubs to put the LSC newsletter on their website and to send out electronically to their members.

The <u>Technical Planning Chair</u> was not present.

The <u>Membership/Registration Coordinator</u> reported on seasonal registration. The seasonal registrations can be accepted and the cost is \$29.50. The seasonal registrations

cannot be entered until May 1st in the system. The seasonal registrations are only good up to the State level. Anything beyond that would need a regular registration.

The <u>Membership/Registration Coordinator</u> reported there have been a few clubs that have had issues with electronic filing. Tori is working to assist those clubs with the electronic filing issues. She and Tori have been assisting those clubs with getting their databases back on track. A club is required to use the electronic filing is they have more than 5 swimmers.

The Membership/Registration Coordinator reported on issues surrounding transfers. The Membership/Registration Coordinator requested the individual record the last attached date. The transfer forms should have the last date of transfer on paperwork before sending in. The Membership/Registration Coordinator reported this is a LSC policy not a (USA Policy). This policy is in place for those even if they have not been attached to a club in over a year and or the club has gone out of business and or no longer exists in the LSC.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the LSC rule came into affect back in 1996 and 1997. It was set up to avoid any legality issues and make sure that kids transfer properly. It is one blanket rule and does not allow for various exceptions. It is easier on the office and it does not involve politics. For example, even if the swimmer has not swum for three years, they would still list the last team they swam for and the athlete and or parent and coach would sign the form. The only way to forego the 120 day rule is if the team goes through a name change.

The Membership/Registration Coordinator reported five to six athletes are in a "holding tank" at this time.

The <u>Membership/Registration Coordinator</u> reported all meets have been closed out except the 8&Under Championships and the Palmetto meet.

The $\underline{\text{Legislative Chair}}$ was not present. Roy Dessloch is to present legislation later under new business.

The <u>Coach's Representative</u> reported the Zones Coaches have been selected and were listed in the newsletter. The process includes soliciting applications from Coaches and then teams select who they wish to be the Zones Coaching Staff.

The <u>Coach's Representative</u> reported they have selected the Coaches of the Year Award winners. The process is similar to the selection of the Zones Coaching Staff. Coaches vote on their picks. The Coach's Representative reported there were good nominations, good votes, and good choices. Normally, half the clubs participated in the voting of the zones coaching staff and the coaches of the year awards. Everyone has an opportunity to participate.

The $\underline{\text{Coach's Representative}}$ reported the BOD has been asked to make a change in the Time Verification Chair.

The <u>Athlete Chair</u> reported on two issues. One issue was the low participation with the Jennifer Smith nominations and the voting. There were 20 nomination forms with 8 people voting. The Athlete Committee is making a recommendation to change when the nomination forms go out and when the voting will have to take place next year in order to get more participation.

The <u>Athlete Chair</u> reported the other issue was the athletes would like to recommend two athletes be allowed to travel and attend the USA Convention in September. The athletes think it is essential that the Jr. Rep attend the convention with the Sr. Rep. The athletes need to learn how to participate and be involved in the process.

The <u>Treasurer</u> asked the board to consider the cost of sending two athletes. There would be a financial burden in sending an extra body to the convention.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported we will have to budget more money for the convention if we plan to send two athletes.

The BOD voted to send two athletes to the convention in September of 2006. The vote in favor was 9, not in favor 0, and abstentions 1.

The Athlete Chair reported the athletes feel they are not being asked to be involved in the committees as much as they would like to be. The athletes are not receiving emails from their Committee Chairs.

The <u>Age Group Vice-Chair</u> reported there were no athletes at the meeting this morning at 8am. The Age Group Vice-Chair said he has athletes that do not show up and they do not really respond to emails. He feels the athletes are not upholding their end.

The <u>Coach's Representative</u> recommended the athletes address this issue with their committee chairs.

The <u>General Chair</u> asked the athletes to mail a list of athletes on committees and their email addresses. The emails would be then provided to the committee chairs. The Committee Chairs were asked to try to communicate better with the athletes. The athletes were asked to provide a comprehensive list to the General Chair.

The Officials Chair was not present.

The $\underline{\text{General Chair}}$ reported on behalf of Kent Easy. Jim Keogh will be attending a clinic in the next week or so on behalf of the LSC and would cost approximately \$500 and will be under clinic expenses. The hosts of the clinics asked for a non head official. The General Chair also reported on the Officials brochure. The Officials brochure is still a work in process by the Officials committee.

The Adaptive Chair was not present.

The Board of Review Chair had nothing to report.

The BOD took a lunch recess at 12:05pm.

The BOD resumed meeting at 12:15pm.

The Observed Swims/Top 16 Committee Chair was not present.

The <u>Scholarship Chair</u> reported on the 2006 Scholarship process. He felt the process went well. A winner will be presented tonight and the Smith family will be present at the banquet to present. The Scholarship Chair agreed to introduce the Smith family.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported the Jennifer Smith Scholarship will be a discussion at the BOD Retreat. The Smith's have indicated that if the LSC could contribute to the Jennifer Smith Spartanburg fund that the principal would grow and that more scholarships would be available to offer each year to deserving candidates.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> asked if the BOD wanted to present the scholarship recipient with a plaque. The BOD decided not to give a plaque at this time.

The <u>Age Group Chair</u> recommended hosting a December Winter Fast Meet named the "Jennifer Smith Memorial Meet". The LSC would in turn donate a percentage of the LSC meet profits (15%) to the Jennifer Smith Spartanburg fund principal. This would allow the principal to grow ten fold and give our more scholarships each year rather than just the one scholarship.

The <u>General Chair</u> asked if anyone would like to host such a meet. Could it be a Sprint Meet and or a Relay Meet in Columbia? The Coach's Committee was asked if they would support such a relay meet.

The <u>Age Group Chair</u> agreed to work with Roy Dessloch to come up with a meet and develop the events we would offer. The location would be essential.

The <u>Coach's Representative</u> said he would ask the Coach's Committee to consider supporting such a relay meet and will report back to the BOD in June. There are only 20 teams represented here at the meetings. Even if supported by the committee, it may still be unattended by teams. It is hard to determine who will actually attend.

The $\underline{\text{Treasurer}}$ recommended the meet host charge a separate \$5.00 entry fee in addition that would be designated up front as a donation to the Jennifer Smith foundation. This would help the LSC and not dip into budgeted meet profits.

The <u>General Chair</u> reported other LSC's are envious of this scholarship that we have.

The <u>Age Group Chair</u> reported Dynamo is hosting their Spring Splash next weekend. They are donating 30% of all their entry fees to the medical expenses of Mary Morrison. She was a Georgia swimmer who had brain cancer and has moved to Washington.

Roy Dessloch described the Jennifer Smith Scholarship process. There is a three person committee that ranks the individual applications and then the recipient is selected. The scholarship is sent to all swimmers and SC High School guidance counselors. It can be awarded to someone who swims high school only and is swimming in college. There are no coaches and or parents involved in the process to remain unbiased. The foundation reviews the process once complete. The scholarship money is not controlled by SC Swimming. The criterion is the athlete recipient must swim in college.

The <u>Age Group Chair</u> reported on time standards. The time standards will be reviewed. The state meet did have more in attendance.

The <u>Admin Vice-Chair</u> reported the Office Committee will be meeting this weekend to discuss and make decisions about the website host and the computer lease that is up for renewal in July.

Old business,

The <u>General Chair</u> made a motion to move into executive session to discuss the CCST Donation Allocation.

New business,

Legislation Chair was not present. Roy Dessloch presented legislation in his behalf.

50% Legislation:

R-1 Location: SC By-Laws 607.3.8

Proposed By: Athlete Committee

Purpose: Establish a permanent position for the Athlete Committee Secretary on the

Technical Planning Committee

Effective: Upon approval of the House of Delegates

Current: 607.3.8 Technical Planning Committee- The members of the Technical

Planning Committee shall be the Technical Planning Committee Chair, who shall serve as chair, an Athlete Member and at least six (6) additional members of whom at least fifty percent (50%) shall be Coach Members. The Athlete Member and the additional members shall be appointed by the General Chair with the advice and consent of the Board of Directors.

Change to: 607.3.8 Technical Planning Committee- The members of the Technical

Planning Committee the Inchical Planning Committee Chair, who shall serve as chair, an Athlete Member and at least six (6) additional members of whom at least fifty percent (50%) shall be Coach Members. The Athlete Member shall be appointed by the Athlete Committee with consent of the Technical Planning Chair, and the additional members shall be appointed by the General Chair with the advice and consent of the Board of

Directors.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-2 Location: SC P&P § II.F.1 Proposed By: Athlete Committee

Purpose: To establish a permanent spot on the committee for the Junior Athlete

Representative

Effective: Upon passage by the House of Delegates

Current: II.F.1 None

Change to: II.F.1 The Athlete Committee will designate one Athlete to serve on

the Age Group Committee with the consent of the Age Group

Chair.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-3 Location: SC P&P § I Proposed By: Athlete Committee

Purpose: To establish a permanent spot on the committee for the Senior Athlete

Representative

Effective: Upon approval by the House of Delegates.

Current: I.D None

Change to: I.D The Athlete Committee will designate one Athlete to serve on the Senior Committee with the consent of the Senior Chair.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-4 Location: SC P&P § XVII. C. Proposed By: Vicky Montgomery

Purpose: This will clarify long term LSC policy and precedent that the LSC has employed for the last decade regarding All State by adding paragraphs XVII.C. 3 thru 5

Effective: Recognition for All-State selections in April 2007

Current: XVII.C. All-State Recognition

- 1. All-State Recognition is earned by achieving an all-state cut-off time (AAA) in at least two events during the qualifying period.
- All-State recognition goes to any swimmer that makes the time standards established by the National Adaptive Swimming Committee to attend the Adaptive National Championships. The time standards apply only after the swimmer has been officially classified. The responsibility of reporting this accomplishment lies wholly with the swimmer's head coach.

Change to: XVII.C. All-State Recognition {by adding paragraphs 3, 4 and 5}

1. All-State Recognition is earned by achieving an all-state cut-off time (AAA) in at least two events during the qualifying period.

- All-State recognition goes to any swimmer that makes the time standards established by the National Adaptive Swimming Committee to attend the Adaptive National Championships. The time standards apply only after the swimmer has been officially classified. The responsibility of reporting this accomplishment lies wholly with the swimmer's head coach.
- 3. All-State athletes will be recognized if the standards are achieved while registered with SC Swimming at any time during the qualifying period to include both long course and/or short course.
- 4. All-State athlete eligibility ends at the conclusion of the short course season that ends within the Athlete's Senior High School Academic Year. Athletes attending college for their freshman year shall not be considered for the gift but will be recognized in the program.
- 5. An athlete will be considered a South Carolina Swimming Graduating Senior if he or she was registered with the LSC for the entire

registration year ending on December 31 during which the athlete enters his or her senior (graduation) year of high school.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-5 Location: SC P&P §§ II.A.1 a) and b) and II.A 2

Proposed By: Age Group Committee

Effective: Upon passage by the House of Delegates

Current: II. A. Developmental

- 1. Format for Developmental Meets
 - a) Upstate One (1) session
 - b) Low State Two (2) sessions
 - (1) Session 1 8 & Unders, 13-18 year-olds in morning session
 - (2) Session 2 9-12 year olds in afternoon session, swum together, awarded separately.
 - (3) Session times
 - (a) AM session 9-1 0 am warm-ups, 1 0:00 am start
 - (b) PM session 12:30-1-:30 PM warm-ups, 1:30 PM start
- 2. Maximum of four (4) individual events.

Change to: Delete the foregoing and substitute:

II. A. Developmental

- 1. Format for Developmental Meets shall be left up to the meet host.
- 2. Maximum number of individual events shall be left up to the meet host.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-6 Location: SC P&P § II.A Proposed By: Age Group Committee

Effective: Upon passage by the House of Delegates

Current: None

Change to: Add a new paragraph 13: All Developmental meets shall submit a pre-meet reconciliation for athlete and coach USA-S membership within 3 days prior to the start of the meet to the SCLSC membership chair.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-7 Location: SC P&P § II.A Proposed By: Age Group Committee

Effective: Upon passage by the House of Delegates

Current: None

Change to: Add a new paragraph 14: SCLSC shall purchase in bulk, SCLSC "Developmental" ribbons for use by hosting team at no cost to hosting team.

The legislation was pulled.

R-8 Location: S.C. P&P § II.B (Palmetto Championships)

Proposed By: Age Group Committee

Effective: Upon passage by the House of Delegates

Current: II. B: Palmetto

1. The Palmetto Meet will be a closed meet open only to South Carolina Registered Swimmers.

- 2. A swimmer with 2 "A" times or 1 "AA" times may not swim in the Palmetto State Championship Meet.
- 3. 9 12 year old swimmer may not enter any event in which they have a BB or faster time. A swimmer with a BB or faster time may not participate in that stroke and distance or that stroke and distance in the relays
 - a. 13 to 18 may not have a "A" time or faster time may not participate in that stroke and distance or that stroke and distance in the relays
- 4. The format for the Palmetto State Championships will be amended as follows:
 - a) 9-10, will swim timed finals.
 - b) The 11-12, 13-18 will swim prelims / final.
 - (a) Qualifiers will advance to finals in 11-12, 13-14-15-18.
 - c) Swimmers in the 15-18 age group may not enter any event in which they have a current 15-16 "A" or faster time.

Change to: Delete paragraphs II.B. 2,3, and 4c), and add a new paragraph II.B.5.

II. B: Palmetto

- 1. The Palmetto Meet will be a closed meet open only to South Carolina Registered Swimmers.
- 2. [deleted]
- 3. [deleted]
- ${\bf 4.}\ \ The\ format\ for\ the\ Palmetto\ State\ Championships\ will\ be\ amended\ as\ follows:$
 - a) 9-10, will swim timed finals.

- b) The 11&O will swim prelims / final.
 - (a) Qualifiers will advance to finals in 11-12, 13-14, 15-18/or open.
- c) [deleted]
- 5. All events will have an entry time maximum of the current Age-group Sectional Championship time standards for individual events classified 10/Under, 11-12, and 13-14; and the current Senior Sectional Championship time standards for individual events classified 15/Over and/or OPEN. Swimmers ages 14 and under may not compete in an Open or 15-18 classified event if they have earned the Age-group Sectional Standard for that event.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-9 Location: SC P&P § II.C.4 Proposed By: Age Group Committee

Effective: Upon passage by the House of Delegates

Current: A fine of \$100.00¹ will be levied against the club (if unattached, against the swimmer) when a swimmer fails to swim a time equal to or faster than the qualifying standard for each event at the long course or short course state championship meet and the club (or the swimmer if unattached) is unable to prove, using official meet results, that he or she has met the qualifying time standard in that event in a meet held since the last like-course state championship. This fine must be paid (or the time must be proved) within 45 days from the date of notification. If the fine is not paid within 45 days, it will be doubled. This notification will be made through an exception report that will be generated by the host club and sent with the meet results. The Administrative Vice-Chair will enforce the fines.

Change to: A fine of \$100.00 will be levied against the club (or against the swimmer if unattached) when a swimmer fails to swim a time equal to or faster than the qualifying standard for each event at the long course or short course state championship meet.

The club (or the swimmer if unattached) must prove, using official meet results, that the swimmer has swum the <u>entered time</u> in that event in a meet held since the last like-course state championship in a USA-S sanctioned or observed meet.

This fine must be paid (or the time must be proved) within 45 days from the date of notification. If the fine is not paid within 45 days, it will be doubled. This notification will be made through an exception report that will be generated by the host club and sent with the meet results. The Administrative Vice-Chair will enforce the fines.

The BOD voted not to endorse this legislation.

R-10 Location: SC P&P §II.C.3 Proposed By: Age Group Committee

Purpose: Limit participation in SCLSC Championship meets to SC swimmers

Effective: Upon passage by the House of Delegates

Current: None

Change to: Add Rule II.C.3.c) Athletes entered into the current SCLSC State Championship must be a member in good standing of the SCLSC.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-11 Location: SC P&P §II.E.8.f Proposed By: Age Group Committee

Effective: Upon Approval by the House of Delegates

Current: The minimum cost for the athlete for the Southern Zone trip is set at \$250.00

Change to: The minimum athlete contribution will be \$250. The SCLSC shall increase its' individual athlete contribution from \$250 per athlete to \$300 per athlete.

The BOD voted not to endorse this legislation.

R-12 Location: SC P&P §II.C.3

Proposed By: Jim Smith

Effective: Upon approval of the House of Delegates

Current: II.C.3. All Swimmers shall meet qualifying time standards for State Short and Long Course Championships.

- a) If swimmers participate in a relay and not in an individual event the qualifying time for the individual event must be proven. Failure to prove the entry time for said individual is a one hundred dollar (\$100.00) fine charged to the club.
- b) In all SCLSC Championship Meets nonconforming time entries shall be sent to the club by electronic mail (e-mail). The club shall have the opportunity to correct the entry if done so within 24 hours from email time stamp.

Change to: II.C.3. All Swimmers <u>competing in *individual* events</u> shall meet qualifying time standards for State Short and Long Course

Championships.

a) If swimmers participate in a relay and not in an individual event the qualifying time for the individual event must be proven. Failure to prove the entry time for said individual is a one hundred dollar (\$100.00) fine charged to the club.

-{deleted}

b) In all SCLSC Championship Meets nonconforming time entries shall be sent to the club by electronic mail (e-mail). The club shall have the opportunity to correct the entry if done so within 24 hours from email time stamp.

[add new para. c] The Time Standards Committee shall create time standards for relay events. Time standards shall be reviewed similarly to individual events for updating. Teams may enter an unlimited number of relays per relay event, however, only the fastest relay may score. If a relay team does not equal or exceed the relay event time standard and an aggregate can not be proven then the team of record is liable for the fine of \$100 as per rule II.C.4. {which is proposed by the author contingent upon passage of this item of legislation}. An aggregate time of the members of a relay team may be used to prove said relay's entry time, as long as the individual aggregate times are not times previous to the last like-course SCLSC State Championship

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-13 Location: SC P&P §II.C.

Proposed By: Jim Smith

Effective: Upon Approval of the House of Delegates

Current: {Note: This legislation is submitted by the author contingent upon passage of the previous item of legislation.} A fine of $$100.00^2$ will be levied against the club (if unattached, against the swimmer) when a swimmer fails to swim a time equal to or faster than the qualifying standard for each event at the long course or short course state championship meet and the club (or the swimmer if unattached) is unable to prove, using official meet results, that he or she has met the qualifying time standard in that event in a meet held since the last like-course state championship. This fine must be paid (or the time must be proved) within 45 days from the date of notification. If the fine is not paid within 45 days, it will be doubled. This notification will be made through an exception report that will be generated by the host club and sent with the meet results. The Administrative Vice Chair will enforce the fines$

Change to: A fine of \$100.00 will be levied against the club (or against the swimmer if unattached) when a swimmer, or relay, fails to swim a time equal to or faster than the

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

qualifying standard for each event at the long course or short course state championship meet

The club (or the swimmer if unattached) must prove, using official meet results, that the swimmer, or relay*, has swum the entered time in that event in a meet held since the last like-course state championship in a USA-S sanctioned or observed meet; *An aggregate time of the members of a relay team may be used to prove said relay's entry time, as long as the individual aggregate times are not times previous to the last like-course SCLSC State Championship.

This fine must be paid (or the time must be proved) within 45 days from the date of notification. If the fine is not paid within 45 days, it will be doubled. This notification will be made through an exception report that will be generated by the host club and sent with the meet results. The Administrative Vice-Chair will enforce the fines.

The BOD voted not to endorse this legislation.

90% Legislation:

R-14 Location: SC P&P XI.B

Proposed By: Dan McDonough/Jacque Grossman

Purpose: Change Reimbursement, Update, Addition, and Housekeeping

Effective: Immediately

Current:

Change to:

a) Junior Championship to USAS Spring Championship

Add a) USAS Junior Nationals

Change e) (3) them to diem

Add g) "A" after the word "championship"

Swimmers attending a National Open Water Championship shall be compensated at the "B" level if they have achieved the 1500/1650 Junior National qualifying time standard in the qualifying period.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

R-15 Location: P&P Section I – Senior: Proposed By: Dan McDonough/Jacque Grossman

Purpose: To limit scoring swims at the Open Water State Championships to one per

athlete.

Effective: Immediately

Current: I. B. 1. The Open Water meet is an LSC Championships with individual awards, not team awards. Awards to be given to 10&U 1K, 11-12 3K, 13-14 5K, Senior 5K.

Change to: 1. B. 1. The Open Water meet is an LSC Championships with individual awards, not team awards. Awards to be given to 10&U 1K, 11-12 3K, 13-14 5K, Senior 5K. A swimmer may score in one event.

The BOD voted to endorse this legislation.

No Resolutions and orders.

The BOD meeting adjourned at 2:15 p.m.